	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/804,219	CHAUDHARY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	David H. Kruse	1638
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Allowance</u>	
(1) <u>David H. Kruse</u> .	(3)	
(2) Stephen A. Bent.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 20 August 2009	Time: <u><i>PM</i></u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: NONE		
Claims discussed: 78 and 79		
Prior art documents discussed: NONE		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN correction of formal issues.	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. T of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand 	he examiner will provide a writte e record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/David H Kruse/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1638	(Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)